

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/756,429	MORRIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lourdes Cruz	2827	

All Participants:

(1) Lourdes Cruz.

(2) Ms.A. Mueting.

Status of Application: In condition for examination, ready for first O.A.

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 September 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting rejection over Parent (US6204971)

Claims discussed:

1-37

Prior art documents discussed:

US6204974

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Cruz contacted Ms. Mueting to propose expedition of prosecution by the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer over US 6204971. This would put the Application in condition for allowance. Ms. Mueting kindly agreed to do so. Also, see that no examiner amendments were made, therefore no mention of the substance of the interview is made in the Notice of Allowability..